## DDA SUBJECT FILE COTY

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET					
SUBJECT: (Optional)  Corporate Dat	a Flem	ente		:	
	a Elem	encs	-	-	
T <sub>ROM:</sub>				EXTENSION NO. DD/A Fagistry	
Chairperson, Corpora		rate		87-1657x	
T Data Task Force	2			10 August 1987	
I TO: (Officer designation, room nomber, und	DATE			T	
building)	RECEIVED	FORWARDED	OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.	
1. Associate Deputy Directo				The Task Force is ready to	
for Administration	-			meet with you at your convenience	
7D24 Hqs			<i>V</i>	1	
2.					
		ļ			
3. Deputy Director for			ma		
Administration 7D24 Hqs			NAY		
/D24 nqs				1	
5.				-	
· \//					
V/					
6. <b>V</b>					
7.					
2/01/					
8.		<del>                                     </del>		50-1	
1				1 第一位的 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	
				50	
9.				With the control of t	
10.					
11.				1	
				1	
12.		<b>†</b>		1	
•					
		<b></b>		1	
13.					
			-	1	
14.					
		-			
15.		1		1	
		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		

## **AUG | 0** 1937

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Administration

VIA: Associate

Associate Deputy Director for Administration

FROM:

Corporate Data Task Force

SUBJECT:

Corporate Data Elements

- 1. On 7 July, the ADDA tasked us to "come up with the basic data elements of a corporate data base." He also gave us one month to accomplish the task. Submitted herewith is our report. Our recommendations are in paragraph 7.
- 2. We first met as a group on 10 July to discuss our tasking. It was decided that each member would focus on his/her office to identify "common" data elements. We arranged for Chief, Corporate Systems Development Division/Development Group/OIT, to brief us. We subsequently met several times to discuss our individual findings and to consolidate them into a single report.
- 3. During the course of our study, we found that OIT had taken the relevant OP HRS-2 data elements (PERSIGN) and added the additional ones needed by the OP "ICARE", the OTE "TEAMS" and the OMS "MIDAS" projects to make a list of approximately 128 common data elements. This was a good effort under the circumstances. The problem in pursuing only this procedure, however, is that the common elements are identified after rather than before the project design phase. OIT is put in the position of identifying what resulted rather than what was planned.
- 4. In preparing our lists, we have used generic terms to identify elements. We have not attempted in our limited time to make decisions on how the elements should be written, i.e., limit the number of synonyms that can be used per generic term. We have defined common data elements as those which are or will be used by multiple DA offices to do their substantive work. We did not include an office if the element would be used only for information or career management purposes. We accepted OIT's definition of a corporate system as one that "is of Agency-wide interest, directly affects the management of Agency resources, performs primarily administrative functions supporting mid-level to senior Agency managers, and is typically managed by a DDA component."

STAT

SUBJECT: Corporate Data Elements

- 5. The attachment shows the elements that we have identified, the offices that have responsibility for the integrity of the elements, and the offices that will be using them. We consider the common data element list only a beginning; additional elements should be added after further review of current and future projects. Some elements have been identified for the corporate system although their usage at this time may be more unique to a particular office than common throughout the DDA. We still believe their wider Agency usage warrants inclusion. We also have identified a "MCMT" column to indicate the elements that past experience has shown will be requested by managers.
- 6. There still exists too many situations where different codes and different text fields are used for the same generic term. These differences cause confusion and inefficiency and make the programs more complex than often is necessary for the desired results. This is an area that needs immediate attention. The attachment might be a good source to start making decisions to ensure that we use the same codes and text fields, unless an exception can be justified. This problem also points up the need for the DA to have a senior officer whose responsibility it is to make such decisions and provide general DA guidance to office project teams.

## 7. We recommend that you:

- a. Require each DA office to review soon its existing and pending projects to standardize codes and text fields within that office.
- b. Designate a senior officer to be responsible for decisions regarding data standards. This officer must be assisted by a directorate-wide committee or task force but the officer would be the final arbiter.
- c. Establish a task force to standardize for the directorate data element characteristics, such as how data elements will be input, stored, and retrieved. The list of common data elements in the report should be the starting point. (This task force should be convened after the offices have had a chance to review their internal situation per recommendation a.)
- d. Include in the development of all projects a review of the data elements to determine which are corporate, which are project unique and which corporate elements are common to multiple DA offices.

STAT

Chairperson,
Corporate Data Task Force

Attachments



Declassified in Part - Sanitized Copy Approved for Release 2011/12/05 : CIA-RDP89G00643R000900130012-1

## ATTACHMENTS

CORPORATE - (COMBINED COMMON & UNIQUE)

COMMON

UNIQUE

